Studies in Biomimetic Polyether Synthesis: Synthesis of an A-Ring Subunit of Etheromycin.

Ian Paterson,* Richard D. Tillyer, and Glen R. Ryan

University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, UK.

Abstract: The β -hydroxyketones 7, 20, and 22 were prepared by stereocontrolled aldol reactions of (S)- and (R)-18 with the enal 8. Acetonide hydrolysis gave the bicyclic acetals 23-25, while the benzoate 26 gave the tetrahydropyran 6, corresponding to an A-ring subunit for 2-*epi*-etheromycin.

Etheromycin (1), an ionophore antibiotic isolated from S. Hygroscopicus, is characterised structurally by a complex array of six ether rings (A-F) with a multitude of stereocentres.¹ In studies towards a biomimetic² synthesis of etheromycin, we have demonstrated³ that the BCD/CDE ring systems in 2 and 3 (Scheme 1) can be assembled using appropriate polyepoxide \rightarrow polyether cyclisation cascades.⁴ More elaborate cyclisations such as $4 \rightarrow 5$, directed towards the complete polyether skeleton, require access to a suitable C₁-C₁₁ subunit to act as a precursor for the AB rings. We have now examined the acid-promoted cyclisation behaviour of a series of potential C₁-C₁₁ segments, and have found that formation of the A-ring derivative 6 can be efficiently accomplished.



The proposed cyclisation cascade for etheromycin, $4 \rightarrow 5$, requires the 7–OH to form the A-ring hemiacetal as the 9–OH triggers the triepoxide opening (*solid arrows* in 4). For this to be synthetically viable, an alternative cyclisation mode for 4 (*dashed arrow*), involving bicyclic acetal formation at the C₃ ketone by the 7– and 9–OH groups, must be less favourable. It was therefore desirable to first examine the cyclisation preferences of an appropriate C_1-C_{11} segment alone. For this study, we targeted the ketone 7, corresponding to 2-*epi*-etheromycin, together with other readily accessible aldol isomers derived from the α -methylene- β -alkoxy aldehyde 8.



An efficient, multi-gram synthesis of the pivotal aldehyde 8 is outlined in Scheme 2. An Evans aldol reaction⁵ between the chiral imide⁶ 9 and the aldehyde 10 was followed by formation of the Weinreb amide^{7,8} 11. After protection as its *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl ether 12, this was directly converted into the allylic alcohol 13, $[\alpha]_B^{20} = -15.9^\circ$ (c 0.66, CHCl₃), using the vinyl lithium reagent derived from the stannane 14.⁹ On a large scale (≥ 100 mmol), a better procedure involved the initial formation of the β -ketophosphonate 15. Reaction of 15 with formaldehyde, promoted by K₂CO₃, then gave 13 in 75% overall yield. After O-silylation, the reduction¹⁰ 16 \rightarrow 17 proceeded with 84% ds using LiAlH₄, as expected¹¹ from Felkin-Anh control. Finally, a series of protecting group manipulations on 17, followed by a Dess-Martin¹² oxidation, then gave the enantiomerically pure enal 8, $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +12.2^\circ$ (c 0.26, CHCl₃), in 65% overall yield (29% from 10).



Scheme 2 (a) ${}^{n}Bu_{2}BOTf$, ${}^{1}Pr_{2}NEt$, $CH_{2}Cl_{2}$, 0 °C, 30 min; 10, -78 °C, 2 h; $H_{2}O_{2}$; (b) HN(Me)OMe.HCl, $Me_{3}Al$, THF, 0 °C, 2 h; (c) 'BuMe_{2}SiCl, imidazole, cat. DMAP, DMF, 25 °C, 15 h; (d) 14, ${}^{n}BuLi$, THF, -78 $\rightarrow 0$ °C, 12, -78 $\rightarrow 0$ °C, 15 min; (e) (MeO)_{2}P(O)CH_{2}Li, THF, -78 °C, 2 h; (f) aq. HCHO, $K_{2}CO_{3}$, THF/H₂O, 25 °C, 3 h; (g) 'BuPh_{2}SiCl, imidazole, CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 1 h; (h) LiAlH₄, Et₂O, -78 °C, 10 min; (i) AcOH, THF/H₂O, 40 °C, 12 h; (f) (MeO)_{2}CMe_{2}, PPTS, CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 4 h; (k) TBAF, THF, 25 °C, 2 h; (l) Dess-Martin periodinane, CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 25 min.

Using the dipropionate reagents¹³ (R)- and (S)-18 (Scheme 3), several highly stereocontrolled aldol couplings were next performed. The α -methylene- β -alkoxy aldehyde 8 exhibited a low π -facial selectivity,¹⁴ yet contributed to the observed stereoselectivities for these aldol reactions by double asymmetric induction. Addition of the E dicyclohexylenol borinate^{13c} (R)-19 to 8 in Et₂O smoothly gave the anti-anti aldol adduct 20 in >95% ds. In contrast, however, various Z enol borinates derived from (R)-18 proved to be insufficiently reactive and failed to add cleanly to 8. This necessitated the use of more reactive metal enolate derivatives to achieve syn selective aldol additions. Using the Z tin(II) enolate^{13b} (R)-21 in CH₂Cl₂, the syn isomer 22 was now easily prepared in 92% ds. In these two cases, the chiral enolate contributes a high level of diastereofacial selectivity for preferred *si*-face attack on the aldehyde 8, giving the (5S) adducts 20 or 22. In a similar manner, reaction with the enantiomeric tin(II) enolate (S)-21 gave the syn isomer 7 (*via* mismatched *re*-face attack on 8) in 86% ds. The two syn aldol isomers 7 and 22 could also be selectively prepared using the corresponding titanium enolates from (S)- and (R)-18, respectively.¹⁴

4390

The cyclisation behaviour of these three keto acetonides was next investigated. To minimise dehydration to the enone, the acetonide hydrolysis was best accomplished using 0.5 M HCl in THF (1:2 by volume) at room temperature for 6-10 h. Under these conditions, the aldol adduct 22; having the (5S) configuration, cleanly gave the bicyclic acetal 23, $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -33^\circ$ (c 2.1, CHCl₃), in 85% yield. For the ketone 20, however, this led to a mixture of open-chain keto triol, hemiacetal, and the bicylic acetal 24. Further treatment of this mixture with Dowex[®] acid resin (50X12-400) in MeOH/(MeO)₃CH (10:1) gave 24 in 70% overall yield. Appropriate ¹H NMR decoupling and NOE difference experiments⁸ confirmed the stereochemistry and indicated that these two bicyclic acetals adopted a chair-chair conformation. Using a similar procedure for the ketone 7, which has the (5R) configuration, gave a 69% yield of the bicyclic acetal 25, $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -48.6^\circ$ (c 1.1; CHCl₃) — now having the less stable, chair-boat conformation.



Scheme 3 (a) (R)-18, (c-C₆H₁₁)₂BCl, Et₃N, Et₂O, 0 °C, 2.5 h; 8, $-78 \rightarrow -20$ °C, 16 h; (b) (R)- or (S)-18, Sn(OTf)₂, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C, 2 h; 8, $-78 \rightarrow -50$ °C, 3 h; (c) aq. 0.5 M HCl, THF, 25 °C, 6-10 h; (d) Dowex[®] 50X H⁺ resta. MeOH, (MeO)₃CH, 25 °C, 10 min; (e) (PhCO)₂O, Et₃N, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 15 h; (f) PPTS, MeOH, (MeO)₃CH, 25 °C, 8 h.

In the (5*R*) series, simply protecting the 5–OH as its benzoate prevented the undesired formation of the bicyclic acetal (presumably due to further destabilisation of the chair-boat conformation in the 5–benzoate of **25**). Thus acid hydrolysis of the acetonide in **26**, followed by treatment with MeOH/(MeO)₃CH, gave only **6**, $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +59.8^{\circ}$ (c 1.1, CHCl₃), in 74% yield. This has the A-ring tetrahydropyran as its methyl acetal between the 7–OH and the C₃ ketone, with the 9–OH available to form the etheromycin B-ring.

In conclusion, we have found that control of extended cyclisation cascades, such as $4 \rightarrow 5$ in Scheme 1, appears to be feasible provided the 5–OH is carried through as its benzoate. Further studies directed towards the biomimetic synthesis of etheromycin are underway.

Acknowledgement: We thank the SERC (GR/F43505) and Pfizer Central Research for support and Dr J. M. Goodman (Cambridge) for assistance with molecular modelling.

References and Notes

- (a) Ceimer, W. D.; Callen, P. W.; Jefferson, M. T.; Moppett, C. E.; Routien, J. B.; Sciavolino, F. C. Chem. Abs. 1979, 90, 150295X. Structure: (b) Polyether Antibiolics: Naturally Occurring Acid Ionophores, Vol 2, p 374, Ed. Westley, J. W., Dekker, New York (1983).
- 2. Cane, D. E.; Celmer, W. D.; Westley, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 105, 3594.
- (a) Paterson, I.; Craw, P. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 5799; (b) Paterson, I.; Boddy, I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 5301;
 (c) Paterson, I.; Boddy, I.; Mason, I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 5205.
- For a recent review of polyepoxide cyclisation chemistry, see: Altenbach, H.-J. in Organic Synthesis Highlights; Mulzer, J.; Altenbach, H.-J.; Brain, M.; Krohn, K.; Reissig, H.-U. Ed., pp 145-150, VCH, Weinheim (1991).
- 5. Evans, D. A.; Bartroli, J.; Shih, T. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 2127.
- 6. We initially made 11 in >98% ee using the N-propionyl oxazolidinone derived from D-valine. This same amide, prepared from the cheaper (15,2R)-norephedrine-derived auxiliary, was subsequently reported by Evans et al. as an intermediate in the synthesis of cytovaricin. We now follow the Evans route to 11 on a large scale. Evans, D. A.; Kaldor, S. W.; Jones, T. K.; Clardy, J.A.; Stout, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7001.
- (a) Levin, J. I.; Turos, E.; Weinreb, S. M. Synth. Comm. 1982, 12, 989; (b) Nahm, S.; Weinreb, S. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 3815.
- 8. All new compounds gave spectroscopic data in agreement with the assigned structures. 24 had ¹H NMR δ (400 MHz, C_xD_x) 1.05 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, C8-Me), 1.17 (1H, m, C8-H), 1.20 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, C4-Me), 1.36 (3H, d, J = 6.9 Hz, C2-Me), 1.41 (1H, m, OH), 1.45-1.72 (2H, m, C10-H), 2.16 (1H, m, C4-H), 2.36 (1H, m, C2-H), 3.29 (3H, s, OMe), 3.33 (1H, dd, J = 9.8, 6.6 Hz, C1-H_), 3.38-3.57 (2H, m, C11-H), 3.75 (1H, dd, J = 9.8, 5.8 Hz, C1-Hb), 4.13 (1H, ddd, J = 9.2, 3.1, 3.1 Hz, C9-H), 4.19 (1H, br s, C7-H), 4.25-4.38 (4H, m, OCH₂Ar, OCH₂Ph), 4.40 (1H, m, C5-H), 4.79 (1H, bs, H_B), 5.22 (1H, dd, $J = 1.9, 1.9, 1.9, H_2, H_A$), 6.80 (2H, m, aromatic), 7.05-7.29 (7H, m, aromatic); ¹³C NMR δ (100 MHz, C₆D₆) 12.4, 12.7, 13.6, 34.5, 36.4, 41.3, 46.6, 54.7, 66.2, 68.0, 72.3, 72.97, 73.9, 80.8, 101.4, 110.4, 114.0, 127.5, 127.7, 128.5, 129.5, 131.1, 139.3, 149.5. 25 had ¹H NMR δ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.08 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, C8-Me), 1.11 (3H, d, J = 6.6 Hz, C2-Me), 1.26 (3H, d, J = 7.1 Hz, C4-Me), 1.43 (1H, m, C8-H), 1.50-1.58 (2H, m, C10-Ha and OH), 1.68 (1H, m, C10-Ha), 1.88 (1H, dq, J = 10, 7.1 Hz, C4-H), 2.19 (1H, m, C2-H), 3.23 (1H, dd, J = 9.1, 9.1 Hz, C1-H_a), 3.39 (2H, m, C11-H), 3.70 (1H, dd, J = 9.1, 2.5 Hz, C1-H₁), 3.79 (3H, s, OMe), 3.93 (1H, m, C5-H), 4.19 (1H, ddd, J = 8.9, 3.3, 3.3 Hz, C9-H), 4.31-4.46 (5H, m, OCH₂Ph, OCH₂A and C7-H), 4.45 (2H, m, OCH₂Ar or OCH₂Ph), 4.84 (1H, dd, J = 2.1, 2.1 Hz, H_A/B), 5.25 (1H, dd, J = 2.4, 2.4 Hz, H_B/A), 6.86 (2H, m, aromatic), 7.20-7.33 (7H, m, aromatic); ¹³C NMR δ (100 MHz) 11.3, 13.3, 15.0, 33.1, 38.4, 40.6, 55.2, 63.6, 66.1, 72.6, 73.0, 73.2, 73.3, 77.4, 101.2, 105.0, 113.8, 127.4, 127.6, 128.3, 129.3, 130.6, 138.8, 151.3, 159.2. 6 had ¹H NMR δ (400 MHz, C₆D₆) 1.03 (3H, d, J = 6.9 Hz, C4-Mc), 1.14 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, C9-Mc), 1.23 (3H, d, J = 6.9 Hz, C2-Me), 1.35 (1H, m, OH), 1.67 (1H, m, C10-Ha), 2.04 (1H, m, C8-H), 2.08 (1H, m, C10-Hb), 2.44 (2H, m, C4-H and C2-H), 3.12 (3H, s, OMe), 3.17 (1H, dd, J = 8.5, 8.5 Hz, C1-Ha), 3.34 (3H, s, OMe), 3.56 (1H, dd, J = 8.5, 2.7 Hz, C1-Hb), 3.65 (2H, I, J = 6.0 Hz, C11-H), 4.16 (1H, d, J = 3.9 Hz, $\overline{C7}$ -H), 4.29-4.38 (4H, m, OCH₂Ph and OCH₂Ar), 4.70 (1H, m, C9-H), 4.91 (s, 1H, H_A), 5.23 (1H, s, H_B), 6.00 (1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz, C5-H), 6.84 (2H, d, aromatic), 7.15-7.33 (11H, m, aromatic), 8.38 (2H, m, aromatic); ¹³C NMR δ (100 MHz) 11.1, 12.6, 14.2, 35.6, 39.2, 40.2, 47.9, 54.5, 68.2, 68.3, 72.1, 72.7, 73.0, 75.1, 75.9, 102.8, 108.9, 113.8, 128.4, 128.5, 129.2, 130.0, 130.6, 131.0, 132.95, 138.96, 143.0, 159.5, 165.6.

Summary of NOE results (the conformations shown were supported by molecular modelling):



- The vinylstannane 14 was prepared in 75% yield from methyl propiolate in a one-pot procedure: ⁿBu₃SnH, (Ph₃P)₂PdCl₂ (5 mol %), THF, 25 °C, 15 min; DIBAL, -95 → 0 °C, 1 h. For this hydrostannation protocol, see: Zhang, H. X.; Guibe, F.; Balavoine, G. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1857.
- The use of Me4NBH(OAc)₃ on the free β-hydroxyketone 13 (H for TBS) led to non-selective reduction giving a 1 : 1 mixture of syn and anti 1,3-diols. Evans, D. A.; Chapman, K. T.; Carreira, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3560.
- 11. Bloch, R.; Gilbert, L.; Girard, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 1021.
- 12. Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 7277.
- (a) Paterson, I. Pure Appl. Chem. 1992, 64, 1821; (b) Paterson, I.; Tillyer, R. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 4233; (c) Paterson, I.; Goodman, J. M; Isaka, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 7121; (d) Paterson, I.; Lister, M. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 585.
- 14. Paterson, I.; Bower, S.; Tillyer, R. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 0000 (following paper in this issue).

(Received in UK 30 April 1993)